

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,058	05/19/2005	Bo Haggman	TPP 31760	4273	
24257 7590 . 08/21/2006			EXAMINER		
STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS		
1615 L STREE	T, NW		[
SUITE 850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1
\sim

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/532,058	HAGGMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patrick D. Niland	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	une 2005.					
	<u></u>	action is non-final.					
	, –						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-30 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,14-19,22 and 26-30 is/are a	llowed.					
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 4,20,21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
C Datast and T							

Application/Control Number: 10/532,058

Art Unit: 1714

1. The amendment of 6/14/06 has been entered. Claims 1-12 and 14-30 are pending.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. The instant claims 23-25 recite "a weight ratio...is such as...". It is unclear if the recited weight ratio is required or not do to the recitation of "such as" and the grammar of these claims.
- 3. Claims 4, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- A. There is not basis in the originally filed specification for "weight average molecular weight" in claims 4, 20, and 21. The applicant's argument that weight average would be understood is not persuasive. There is no probative evidence to this effect. Furthermore, the examiner's polymer chemistry professor stated that usually number average is meant when the average type is not specified. Page 1925 of the Aldrich catalogue gives the molecular weights of polyethylene oxides in number average molecular weights, which is typically what is intended by the relatively low molecular weight liquid reactants. The examiner's experience is that about 2/3 of applicant's argued that Mn was understood and about 1/3 argue that Mw was understood, where the type of molecular weight is not specified.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-19, 22, and 26-30 are allowable over the prior art considered. Claims 4, 20-21, and 23-25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under

Art Unit: 1714

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

US Pat. No. 5418301 Hult et al. and WO 02/32982 Overbeek et al. are representative of the closest prior art but do not teach nor make obvious the instantly claimed inventions.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,058 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fres).

Patrick D. Niland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714